

R E M A R K S

The specification has been revised to avoid the Examiner's objection.

The Examiner basically cites US Patent 5,694,852 (Bressler). In response to objections originating from the parent application Applicant has already explained why Bressler is irrelevant, as shown in the enclosed copy of applicant's preliminary amendment. It will be noted that some claims were found to contain allowable subject matter in that application.

Accordingly, the present Examiner is rendering a decision that seems inconsistent with that prior examination. Examiner is respectfully requested to review the arguments contained in the accompanying papers, which demonstrate Bressler's irrelevance.


Another newly cited reference is US patent 3,246,054 which was applied only against claims 37-40 and 42. U.S. Patent 4,737,378 was cited only against claim 52. Therefore, the Examiner has not cited any new art against claims 41, 43-51, and 53-55. Moreover, these two new references are cited in combination with Bressler, which is irrelevant for the reasons given above.

Additional arguments can be presented against US patents 3,246,054 and 4,737,378, but seem unnecessary for the reasons given.

It is believed that the foregoing responds to the objections and rejections entered by the Examiner and places this application in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
W. Richard Chesnut

By:



Thomas L. Adams
Reg. No. 27,300
(973)463-0100

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid addressed to: Mail Stop No Fee Amendment; Commissioner for Patents; P.O. Box 1450; Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 10th day of July, 2006.



Thomas L. Adams
Registration No. 27,300